

**THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF UTAH**

BLENDTEC INC., a Utah corporation,

Plaintiff,

vs.

BLENDJET INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendant.

**ORDER GRANTING SEVENTH
STIPULATED MOTION TO AMEND
SCHEDULING ORDER**

Civil No. 2:21-cv-00668-TC-DBP

Judge Tena Campbell

Chief Magistrate Judge Dustin B. Pead

The Court having read and considered the Seventh Stipulated Motion to Amend Scheduling Order, and for good cause shown, hereby GRANTS the motion. It is hereby ORDERED that the following matters are scheduled and may not be changed without Court approval:


Date per Sixth Amended Scheduling Order (ECF 197)	Stipulated New Date	Event
February 13, 2025	May 9, 2025	Rule 26(a)(2) Expert disclosures (subject and identity of experts) – parties bearing the burden of proof
February 27, 2025	May 30, 2025	Close of fact discovery
February 27, 2025	May 30, 2025	Rule 26(a)(2) Expert disclosures (subject and identity of experts) – counter disclosures
March 18, 2025	June 20, 2025	Rule 26(a)(2) Expert Reports – parties bearing burden of proof
May 15, 2025	August 1, 2025	Rule 26(a)(2) Expert Reports – counter reports
June 25, 2025	August 29, 2025	Last day for expert discovery

Date per Sixth Amended Scheduling Order (ECF 197)	Stipulated New Date	Event
July 25, 2025	October 24, 2025	Deadline for filing dispositive or potentially dispositive motions including <i>Daubert</i> motions to exclude expert testimony
July 31, 2025	November 24, 2025	Evaluate case for settlement/ADR
	October 31, 2025	Deadline for filing a request for a scheduling conference with the district judge for the purpose of setting a trial date if no dispositive motions are filed.

If dispositive motions are filed and the district judge's ruling on those motions does not resolve the case, the parties shall file a request for a scheduling conference with the district judge for the purpose of setting a trial date no later than one week after the ruling on the dispositive motions.

All other provisions in the prior Scheduling Orders, entered in this case (ECF No. 25, ECF No. 28, ECF No. 35, ECF No. 90, ECF No. 184, and ECF 197), not modified above shall remain the same.

DATED this 7 February 2025.



Dustin B. Pead
United States Magistrate Judge